



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	-	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,146		01/16/2002	Steven J. Harrington	111086	3633
27074	7590	03/31/2006	·	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & P.O. BOX 1		GE, PLC.	BRINICH, STEPHEN M		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2625	
				DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/046,146	HARRINGTON, STEVEN J.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stephen M. Brinich	2625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	-· action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
•							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/16/02</u> .	6) Other:	atom Application (FTO-102)					

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 6-8; claim 11, lines 12-14; claim 13, lines 12-14; claim 15, lines 14-16; and claim 17, lines 17-19, the recitation of "a third dimension" and "the second dimension" associated with the recited "plurality of high-addressability pixels" is not preceded by a recitation of "a first dimension" associated with the recited "plurality of high-addressability pixels". In each case, there is a previous recitation of "a first dimension" in association with a recited "plurality of pixels", which is distinct from the recited "plurality of high-addressability pixels".

In claim 1, line 7; claim 11, line 13; claim 13, line 13; claim 15, line 15; and claim 17, line 18, the recitation of "the second dimension" associated with the recited "plurality of

Application/Control Number: 10/046,146

Art Unit: 2625

high-addressability pixels" lacks proper antecedent basis. In each case, there is a previous recitation of "a second dimension" in association with a recited "plurality of pixels", which is distinct from the recited "plurality of high-addressability pixels".

Page 3

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-17, insofar as they are understood, would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Re claim 1 (and dependent claims 2-10), insofar as they are understood, the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited arrangement of high-addressability pixels within pixels such that the high-addressability pixels are divided into subcells arranged into subrows, with the subrows being selectable in association with a shift of the associated halftone cell.

Re claims 11, 13, 15, & 17 (and dependent claims 12, 14, & 16), insofar as they are understood, the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited arrangement of high-addressability pixels within pixels such that the high-addressability pixels

Art Unit: 2625

are divided into subcells arranged into subrows, with the subrows being selectable in association with a shift of the associated color separation layer.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hains, Mantell, Jankowski et al, and Loce et al disclose examples of high-addressability pixel arrays.

6. Any inquiry concerning the contents of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 571-272-7430.

Any inquiry relating to the status of this application or proceeding or any inquiry of a general nature concerning application processing should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 571-272-2600 or to the USPTO Contact Center at 800-786-9199 or 703-308-4357.

The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 7:00-4:30, alternate Fridays off.

The examiner's unit designation has been changed from "Art Unit 2624" to "Technology Division 2625" (as of March 20, 2006).

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 571-272-7437.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,146

Art Unit: 2625

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 571-273-8300 (as of July 15, 2005).

Hand-carried correspondence may be delivered to the Customer Service Window, located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Stephen M Brinich

Examiner

Technology Division 2625

Page 5

smb

March 27, 2006